

DENY and Opinion Filed July 22, 2020



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-20-00684-CV

IN RE ISABELLE EDWARDS, Relator

**Original Proceeding from the 44th Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. DC-18-12014**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

**Before Chief Justice Burns, and Justices Myers and Evans
Opinion by Chief Justice Burns**

Before the Court is relator's July 20, 2020 petition for a writ of mandamus regarding orders denying relator's motions for sanctions, a hearing set in August 2020 regarding the court reporter's challenge to relator's affidavit of indigency, relator's inability to access documents on the trial court's website due to the clerk's removal of those documents when relator failed to redact personal identifying information, and, real party in interest's continued inclusion of dismissed co-defendants in his pleadings. Entitlement to mandamus relief requires relator to show both that the trial court has clearly abused its discretion and that relator has no adequate appellate remedy. *In re Prudential Ins. Co.*, 148 S.W.3d 124, 135–36 (Tex.

2004) (orig. proceeding). After reviewing the petition, and noting relator has failed to comply with TEX. R. APP. P. 52.3(h) and (k), we conclude relator has not shown she is entitled to the relief requested.

Accordingly, we **DENY** relator's petition for writ of mandamus. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a) (the court must deny the petition if the court determines relator is not entitled to the relief sought).

/Robert D. Burns, III/
ROBERT D. BURNS, III
CHIEF JUSTICE

200864F.P05